

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
WESTERN DIVISION**

<b>In re:</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>MDL Docket No. 4:03CV1507-WRW</b>
	<b>:</b>	<b>4:05CV00163</b>
<b>PREMPRO PRODUCTS LIABILITY</b>	<b>:</b>	
<b>LITIGATION</b>	<b>:</b>	
	<b>:</b>	
	<b>:</b>	
<b>LINDA REEVES</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>PLAINTIFF</b>
	<b>:</b>	
<b>v.</b>	<b>:</b>	
	<b>:</b>	
<b>WYETH</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>DEFENDANT</b>

**ORDER**

Pending are Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Part 5 (Doc. No. 260), Defendant's Motion *in Limine* to Bar Evidence and Argument that Wyeth Should Have Conducted a "WHI-Like" Study (Doc. No. 303), and Defendant's Second Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment Re: Statute of Limitations (Doc. No. 298).

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law made in a hearing held today, I rule as follows:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Part 5 (Doc. No. 260) is DENIED for the time being. If any of the requested documents are offered into evidence, or mentioned by a defense witness, I will conduct a hearing to determine whether the documents were properly withheld under the "work product" privilege. If not, I will instruct the jury to the effect that they were improperly withheld from Plaintiff by Defendant, thus causing the delay in the trial.

2. Defendant's Motion *in Limine* to Bar Evidence and Argument that Wyeth Should Have Conducted a "WHI-Like" Study (Doc. No. 303) is DENIED.

3. After careful consideration, Defendant's Second Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment Re: Statute of Limitations (Doc. No. 298), filed last Friday, is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 31st day of July, 2006.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson,Jr.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE